

Effect of Elastic Deformations on the Critical Behavior of Disordered Systems with Long-Range Interactions

S.V. Belim*

*Omsk State University, 55-a, pr. Mira, Omsk, Russia, 644077 *

(Dated: February 2, 2008)

A field-theoretic approach is applied to describe behavior of three-dimensional, weakly disordered, elastically isotropic, compressible systems with long-range interactions at various values of a long-range interaction parameter. Renormalization-group equations are analyzed in the two-loop approximation by using the PadBorel summation technique. The fixed points corresponding to critical and tricritical behavior of the systems are determined. Elastic deformations are shown to changes in critical and tricritical behavior of disordered compressible systems with long-range interactions. The critical exponents characterizing a system in the critical and tricritical regions are determined.

PACS numbers: 64.60.-i

The effect of a long-range interaction described by a power law $r^{D\sigma}$ was studied analytically in the framework of the ε -expansion [13] and numerically by Monte Carlo methods [46] for two- and one-dimensional systems. It was shown that effects due to long-range interaction are essential for the critical behavior of Ising systems when $\sigma < 2$. The two-loop approximation applied in [7] directly in the three-dimensional space corroborates the predictions of the ε -expansion for homogeneous systems with long-range interactions.

In structural phase transitions without piezoelectric effect in the paraphase, elastic deformations play the role of a secondary order parameter whose fluctuations are not critical in most cases [8, 9]. Since the main contribution to striction effects in the critical region is due to the distance dependence of the exchange integral, only isotropic elastic systems are discussed below.

It was shown in [10, 11] that coupling between order-parameter fluctuations and elastic deformations can be responsible both for change in critical behavior and for emergence of tricritical and tetracritical points. The introduction of frozen point impurities into a system both changes the critical behavior and eliminates multicritical points [12]. The analysis presented in [13] showed that frozen structural defects introduced into spin systems with long-range interactions increased the value of a long-range parameter corresponding to the transition to mean-field critical behavior. The effect of elastic deformations on homogeneous systems with long-range interactions also changes the critical behavior [14]. Therefore, it would be interesting to analyze the combined effect of structural defects and elastic deformations on systems with long-range interactions.

In this work, the critical behavior of disordered compressible systems with long-range interactions in the three-dimensional space is described for various values of the long-range parameter σ .

The Hamiltonian of the disordered Ising model including elastic deformations and long-range interactions can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
 H_0 = & \frac{1}{2} \int d^D q (\tau_0 + q^\sigma) S_q S_{-q} + \frac{1}{2} \int d^D q \Delta \tau_q S_q S_{-q} \\
 & + u_0 \int d^D q S_{q1} S_{q2} S_{q3} S_{-q1-q2-q3} \\
 & + a_3 \int d^D q y_{q1} S_{q2} S_{-q1-q2} \\
 & + \frac{a_3^{(0)}}{\Omega} y_0 \int d^D q S_q S_{-q} + \frac{1}{2} a_1 \int d^D q y_q y_{-q} \\
 & + \frac{1}{2} \frac{a_1^{(0)}}{\Omega} y_0^2 + \int d^D q h_q y_q + \frac{h_0}{\Omega} y_0
 \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where S_q is an order parameter, u_0 is a positive constant, $\tau_0 \sim |T - T_c|/T_c$, T_c is the phase-transition temperature, σ is the long-range parameter, $\Delta \tau_q$ is a random impurity field (e.g., random temperature), a_1 and a_2 are the elastic constants of a crystal, and a_3 is the quadratic striction constant. The coupling between impurities and the nonfluctuating order parameter $y(x) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^3 u_{\alpha\alpha}(x)$, where $u_{\alpha\beta}$ is the strain tensor, is specified by the random field h_q thermodynamically conjugate to $u_{\alpha\alpha}(x)$. In Eq. (1), integration is performed in the terms depending on nonfluctuating variables that are not coupled to the S_q , and the y_0 terms (describing homogeneous deformations) are separated. It was shown in [8] that this separation is necessary because inhomogeneous deformations y_q are responsible for exchange of acoustic phonons and for long-range effects that are absent under homogeneous deformations. When the impurity concentration is low, random fields $\Delta \tau_q$, h_q and h_0 can be treated as

*Electronic address: belim@univer.omsk.su

Gaussian and specified by the function

$$P[\Delta\tau, h, h_0] = A \exp\left[-\frac{1}{8b_1} \int \Delta\tau_q^2 d^D q - \frac{1}{8b_2} \int h_q^2 d^D q - \frac{1}{8b_3} \int h_0 d^D q - \frac{1}{4b_4} \int \Delta\tau_q h_q d^D q - \frac{1}{4b_5} \int \Delta\tau_q h_0 d^D q\right], \quad (2)$$

where A is a normalization factor and b_i denotes positive constants proportional to the concentration of frozen structural defects.

Using the replica procedure to average over the random fields generated by frozen structural defects, we obtain an effective Hamiltonian of the system:

$$H_R = \frac{1}{2} \int d^D q (\tau_0 + q^\sigma) \sum_{a=1}^m S_q^a S_{-q}^a - \frac{\delta_0}{2} \sum_{a,b=1}^m \int d^D q (S_{q_1}^a S_{q_2}^a) (S_{q_3}^b S_{-q_1-q_2-q_3}^b) + u_0 \sum_{a=1}^m \int d^D q S_{q_1}^a S_{q_2}^a S_{q_3}^a S_{-q_1-q_2-q_3}^a + g_0 \sum_{a=1}^m \int d^D q y_{q_1}^a S_{q_2}^a S_{-q_1-q_2}^a + \frac{g_0^{(0)}}{\Omega} \sum_{a=1}^m y_0^a \int d^D q S_q^a S_{-q}^a + \frac{1}{2} \lambda \int d^D q y_q y_{-q} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\lambda_0}{\Omega} y_0^2 \quad (3)$$

where the positive constants δ_0 , g_0 , $g_0^{(0)}$, λ and λ_0 can be expressed in terms of a_i and b_i . The properties of the original system can be obtained in the limit $m \rightarrow 0$, where m is the number of replicas (images).

Define an effective Hamiltonian depending only on the strongly fluctuating order parameter S_q by the relation

$$\exp\{-H[S]\} = B \int \exp\{-H_R[S, y]\} \prod dy_q \quad (4)$$

If an experiment is carried out at constant volume, then y_0 is a constant and integration in Eq. (4) is performed over inhomogeneous deformations, whereas homogeneous deformations do not contribute to the effective Hamiltonian. At constant pressure, the Hamiltonian is modified by adding the term $P\Omega$, volume is represented in terms of the strain tensor components as

$$\Omega = \Omega_0 [1 + \sum_{\alpha=1} u_{\alpha\alpha} + \sum_{\alpha \neq \beta} u_{\alpha\alpha} u_{\beta\beta} + O(u^3)] \quad (5)$$

and integration over homogeneous deformations is also performed in Eq. (4). It was noted in [15] that the quadratic terms in Eq. (5) can be important at high pressures and for crystals with large striction effects. The

result is

$$H = \frac{1}{2} \int d^D q (\tau_0 + q^\sigma) \sum_{a=1}^m S_q^a S_{-q}^a + (u_0 - \frac{z_0}{2}) \sum_{a=1}^m \int d^D \{q_i\} S_{q_1}^a S_{q_2}^a S_{q_3}^a S_{-q_1-q_2-q_3}^a - \frac{\delta}{2} \sum_{a,b=1}^m \int d^D \{q_i\} (S_{q_1}^a S_{q_2}^a) (S_{q_3}^b S_{-q_1-q_2-q_3}^b) + \frac{1}{2\Omega} (z_0 - w_0) \sum_{a=1}^m \int d^D \{q_i\} (S_{q_1}^a S_{-q_1}^a) (S_{q_2}^a S_{-q_2}^a), \quad (6)$$

$$z_0 = g_0^2/\lambda, \quad w_0 = g_0^{(0)2}/\lambda_0,$$

The effective coupling constant $v_0 = u_0 - z_0/2$, which arises in the Hamiltonian owing to the striction effects determined by g_0 , can have positive and negative values. Therefore, this Hamiltonian describes both first and second-order phase transitions. When $v_0 = 0$, the system exhibits tricritical behavior. Furthermore, the effective interaction determined by the difference $z_0 w_0$ in Eq. (6) can also change the order of the phase transition. This representation of the effective Hamiltonian entails the existence of a higher order critical point where tricritical curves intersect when $v_0 = 0$ and $z_0 = w_0$ [16]. Note that Hamiltonian (6) is isomorphic to the Hamiltonian of the disordered Ising model with long-range interactions under the tricritical condition $z_0 = w_0$.

Using the standard renormalization-group procedure based on the Feynman diagram technique [17, 18] with the propagator $G(\vec{k}) = 1/(\tau + |\vec{k}|^\sigma)$, we derive the following expressions for the functions $\beta_v, \beta_\delta, \beta_z, \beta_w, \gamma_\varphi$ and γ_t specifying the differential renormalization-group equation:

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_v &= -(2\sigma - D)v \left[1 - 36vJ_0 + 24\delta J_0 + 1728 \left(2J_1 - J_0^2 - \frac{2}{9}G \right) v^2 - 2304 \left(2J_1 - J_0^2 - \frac{1}{6}G \right) v\delta + 672 \left(2J_1 - J_0^2 - \frac{2}{3}G \right) \delta^2 \right], \\ \beta_\delta &= -(2\sigma - D)\delta \left[1 - 24vJ_0 + 16\delta J_0 + 576 \left(2J_1 - J_0^2 - \frac{2}{3}G \right) v^2 - 1152 \left(2J_1 - J_0^2 - \frac{1}{3}G \right) v\delta + 352 \left(2J_1 - J_0^2 - \frac{1}{22}G \right) \delta^2 \right], \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\beta_z &= -(2\sigma - D)z \left[1 - 24vJ_0 - 2zJ_0 + 8\delta J_0 \right. \\
&\quad + 576(2J_1 - J_0^2 - \frac{2}{3}G)v^2 - \\
&\quad \left. - 120(2J_1 - J_0^2 - \frac{8}{5}G)v\delta + 96(2J_1 - J_0^2 - \frac{2}{3}G)\delta^2 \right], \\
\beta_w &= -(2\sigma - D)w \left[1 - 24vJ_0 + 8\delta J_0 - 4zJ_0 + 2wJ_0 \right. \\
&\quad + 576(2J_1 - J_0^2 - \frac{2}{3}G)v^2 - \\
&\quad \left. - 120(2J_1 - J_0^2 - \frac{8}{5}G)v\delta + 96(2J_1 - J_0^2 - \frac{2}{3}G)\delta^2 \right], \\
\gamma_t &= (2\sigma - D) \left[-12vJ_0 + 4\delta J_0 - 2zJ_0 + 2wJ_0 \right. \\
&\quad + 288 \left(2J_1 - J_0^2 - \frac{1}{3}G \right) v^2 - \\
&\quad \left. - 288(2J_1 - J_0^2 - \frac{2}{3}G)v\delta + 32(2J_1 - J_0^2 - \frac{1}{2}G)\delta^2 \right], \\
\gamma_\varphi &= (2\sigma - D)64G(3v^2 - 3v\delta + \delta^2), \\
J_1 &= \int \frac{d^D q d^D p}{(1 + |\vec{q}|^\sigma)^2 (1 + |\vec{p}|^\sigma) (1 + q^2 + p^2 + 2\vec{p}\vec{q}^{\sigma/2})}, \\
J_0 &= \int \frac{d^D q}{(1 + |\vec{q}|^\sigma)^2}, \\
G &= -\frac{\partial}{\partial |\vec{k}|^\sigma} \int \frac{d^D q d^D p}{(1 + q^2 + k^2 + 2\vec{k}\vec{q}^\sigma) (1 + |\vec{p}|^\sigma)} \\
&\quad \cdot \frac{1}{(1 + q^2 + p^2 + 2\vec{p}\vec{q}^{\sigma/2})}.
\end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

In terms of the new effective interaction vertexes

$$v_1 = v \cdot J_0, \quad v_2 = \delta \cdot J_0, \quad v_3 = z \cdot J_0, \quad v_4 = w \cdot J_0. \tag{8}$$

the functions β_i , γ_φ and γ_t are expressed as

$$\begin{aligned}
\beta_1 &= -(2\sigma - D) \left[1 - 36v_1 + 24v_2 \right. \\
&\quad + 1728 \left(2\widetilde{J}_1 - 1 - \frac{2}{9}\widetilde{G} \right) v_1^2 - 2304(2\widetilde{J}_1 - 1 - \frac{1}{6}\widetilde{G})v_1v_2 \\
&\quad \left. + 672(2\widetilde{J}_1 - 1 - \frac{2}{3}\widetilde{G})v_2^2 \right], \\
\beta_2 &= -(2\sigma - D)\delta \left[1 - 24v_1 + 8v_2 \right. \\
&\quad + 576(2\widetilde{J}_1 - 1 - \frac{2}{3}\widetilde{G})v_1^2 - 1152(2\widetilde{J}_1 - 1 - \frac{1}{3}\widetilde{G})v_1v_2 \\
&\quad \left. + 352(2\widetilde{J}_1 - 1 - \frac{1}{22}\widetilde{G})v_2^2 \right], \\
\beta_3 &= -(2\sigma - D)v_3 \left[1 - 24v_1 + 16v_2 - 2v_3 \right. \\
&\quad + 576(2\widetilde{J}_1 - 1 - \frac{2}{3}\widetilde{G})v_1^2 - 120(2\widetilde{J}_1 - 1 - \frac{8}{5}G)v_1v_2 \\
&\quad \left. + 96(2\widetilde{J}_1 - 1 - \frac{2}{3}G)v_2^2 \right],
\end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\beta_4 &= -(2\sigma - D)v_4 \left[1 - 24v_1 + 8v_2 - 4v_3 + 2v_4 \right. \\
&\quad + 576(2\widetilde{J}_1 - 1 - \frac{2}{3}\widetilde{G})v_1^2 - 120(2\widetilde{J}_1 - 1 - \frac{8}{5}G)v_1v_2 \\
&\quad \left. + 96(2\widetilde{J}_1 - 1 - \frac{2}{3}G)v_2^2 \right], \\
\gamma_t &= (2\sigma - D) \left[-12v_1 + 4v_2 - 2v_3 + 2v_4 \right. \\
&\quad + 288 \left(2\widetilde{J}_1 - 1 - \frac{1}{3}\widetilde{G} \right) v_1^2 - 192(2\widetilde{J}_1 - 1 - \frac{2}{3}\widetilde{G})v_1v_2 \\
&\quad \left. + 32(2\widetilde{J}_1 - 1 - \frac{1}{2}\widetilde{G})v_2^2 \right], \\
\gamma_\varphi &= (2\sigma - D)64\widetilde{G}(3v_1^2 - 3v_1v_2 + v_2^2).
\end{aligned}$$

This redefinition is meaningful for $\sigma \leq D/2$. In this case, J_0 , J_1 and G are divergent functions. Introducing the cutoff parameter Λ , we obtain finite expressions for the ratios J_1/J_0^2 and G/J_0^2 as $\Lambda \rightarrow \infty$.

The integrals are performed numerically. For $\sigma \leq D/2$, a sequence of J_1/J_0^2 and G/J_0^2 corresponding to various values of Λ is calculated and extrapolated to infinity.

It is well known that perturbation-theory series are asymptotic and expressions (9) cannot be applied directly since the interaction vertexes for fluctuations of order parameters in the fluctuation region are too large. For this reason, the required physical information was extracted from these expressions by applying the Pade-Borel method extended to the four-parameter case. The appropriate direct and inverse Borel transforms have the form

$$\begin{aligned}
f(v, \delta, z, w) &= \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_4} c_{i_1, \dots, i_4} v_1^{i_1} v_2^{i_2} v_3^{i_3} v_4^{i_4} \\
&= \int_0^\infty e^{-t} F(v_1 t, v_2 t, v_3 t, v_4 t) dt, \\
F(v, \delta, z, w) &= \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_4} \frac{c_{i_1, \dots, i_4}}{(i_1 + \dots + i_4)!} v_1^{i_1} v_2^{i_2} v_3^{i_3} v_4^{i_4}.
\end{aligned}$$

To obtain an analytic continuation of the Borel transform of a function, a series in an auxiliary variable θ is introduced:

$$\tilde{F}(v, \delta, z, w, \theta) = \sum_{k=0}^\infty \theta^k \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_4} \frac{c_{i_1, \dots, i_4}}{k!} v_1^{i_1} v_2^{i_2} v_3^{i_3} v_4^{i_4} \delta_{i_1 + \dots + i_4, k}, \tag{10}$$

The Pade approximant [L/M] is applied to this series at $\theta = 1$. This procedure was proposed and tested in [19-22] for describing the critical behavior of a number of systems characterized by several interaction vertexes for order-parameter fluctuations. It was found in [19-22] that Pade approximants in the variable θ preserve the symmetry of the system. This property is important for analysis of multivertex models. The approximants [2/1] are used to calculate the β functions in the two-loop approximation.

Critical behavior is completely determined by the stable fixed points of the renormalization group transformation. These points can be found from the condition

$$\beta_i(v_1^*, v_2^*, v_3^*, v_4^*) = 0 \quad (i = 1, 2, 3, 4). \tag{11}$$

The requirement of stability of a fixed point reduces to the condition that the eigenvalues b_i of the matrix

$$B_{i,j} = \frac{\partial \beta_i(v_1^*, v_2^*, v_3^*, v_4^*)}{\partial v_j}. \quad (12)$$

are positive.

The exponent ν characterizing the growth of the correlation radius near the critical point ($R_c \sim |T - T_c|^{-\nu}$) is determined by the relation

$$\nu = \frac{1}{\sigma}(1 + \gamma_t)^{-1}.$$

The Fisher exponent η describing the behavior of the correlation function near the critical point in the wave-vector space ($G \sim k^{2+\eta}$) is determined by the scaling function γ_φ : $\eta = 2 - \sigma + \gamma_\varphi(v_1^*, v_2^*, v_3^*, v_4^*)$. The remaining critical exponents can be determined from the scaling relations.

The table shows the stable fixed points of the renormalization group transformation and the fixed-point eigenvalues of the stability matrix for $\sigma = 1.8$ and 1.9 . It was shown in [13] that stable fixed points exist in the physical region ($v_i^* > 0$) for disordered systems only when $\sigma \geq 1.8$. When $\sigma < 1.8$, the stable points of any three-dimensional impurity system are characterized by negative values of the vertex v_1^* .

An analysis of the critical points and their stability shows that the fixed critical points of disordered systems with long-range interactions (nos. 1 and 4) are unstable under elastic deformations. The critical behavior of disordered compressible systems with long-range interactions is described by their respective fixed points (nos. 2 and 5). The phase diagram of the substance can contain tricritical points specified by fixed points (nos. 3 and 6).

Calculations of the critical exponents for the stable fixed points (nos. 2 and 5) yield

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma = 1.9, \quad \nu = 0.721, \quad \eta = 0.134 \\ \sigma = 1.8, \quad \nu = 0.758, \quad \eta = 0.251 \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

For the tricritical points (nos. 3 and 6), the critical exponents are

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma = 1.9, \quad \nu = 0.686, \quad \eta = 0.134 \\ \sigma = 1.8, \quad \nu = 0.721, \quad \eta = 0.251 \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

Thus, elastic deformations change both critical and tricritical behavior of three-dimensional disordered Ising systems with long-range interactions.

The work is supported by Russian Foundation for Basic Research N 04-02-16002.

-
- [1] M. E. Fisher, S.-K. Ma, and B. G. Nickel, Phys. Rev. Lett. 29, 917 (1972).
 - [2] J. Honkonen, J. Phys. A 23, 825 (1990).
 - [3] E. Luijten and H. Mebingfeld, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 5305 (2001).
 - [4] E. Bayong and H. T. Diep, Phys. Rev. B 59, 11 919 (1999).
 - [5] E. Luijten, Phys. Rev. E 60, 7558 (1999).
 - [6] E. Luijten and H. W. J. Bloote, Phys. Rev. B 56, 8945 (1997).
 - [7] S. V. Belim, Pisma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 77, 118 (2003) [JETP Lett. 77, 112 (2003)].
 - [8] A. I. Larkin and S. A. Pikin, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 56, 1664 (1969) [Sov. Phys. JETP 29, 891 (1969)].
 - [9] D. J. Bergman and B. I. Halperin, Phys. Rev. B 13, 2145 (1976).
 - [10] V. M. Laptev and A. V. Skryabin, Phys. Status Solidi B 91, K143 (1997).
 - [11] Y. N. Skryabin and A. V. Shchanov, Phys. Lett. A 234, 147 (1997).
 - [12] S. V. Belim and V. V. Prudnikov, Fiz. Tverd. Tela (St. Petersburg) 43, 1299 (2001) [Phys. Solid State 43, 1353 (2001)].
 - [13] S. V. Belim, Pisma Zh. ksp. Teor. Fiz. 77, 509 (2003) [JETP Lett. 77, 434 (2003)].
 - [14] S. V. Belim, Pisma Zh. ksp. Teor. Fiz. 77, 659 (2003) [JETP Lett. 77, 556 (2003)].
 - [15] M. A. de Moura, T. C. Lubensky, Y. Imry, and A. Aharony, Phys. Rev. B 13, 2176 (1976).
 - [16] Y. Imry, Phys. Rev. Lett. 33, 1304 (1974).
 - [17] D. Amit, Field Theory, the Renormalization Group, and Critical Phenomena (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1976).
 - [18] J. Zinn-Justin, Quantum Field Theory and Critical Phenomena (Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1989).
 - [19] S. A. Antonenko and A. I. Sokolov, Phys. Rev. B 49, 15 901 (1994).
 - [20] K. B. Varnashev and A. I. Sokolov, Fiz. Tverd. Tela (St. Petersburg) 38, 3665 (1996) [Phys. Solid State 38, 1996 (1996)].
 - [21] A. I. Sokolov, K. B. Varnashev, and A. I. Mudrov, Int. J. Mod. Phys. B 12, 1365 (1998).
 - [22] A. I. Sokolov and K. B. Varnashev, Phys. Rev. B 59, 8363 (1999).

N	v_1^*	v_2^*	v_3^*	v_4^*	b_1	b_2	b_3	b_4
$\sigma = 1.8$								
1	0.064189	0.046878	0	0	0.626*	0.626*	- 0.123	- 0.123
2	0.064189	0.046878	0.066101	0	0.626*	0.626*	0.124	0.125
3	0.064189	0.046878	0.066101	0.066101	0.626*	0.626*	0.124	- 0.124
$\sigma = 1.9$								
4	0.066557	0.040818	0	0	0.559*	0.559*	- 0.118	- 0.118
5	0.066557	0.040818	0.065716	0	0.559*	0.559*	0.119	0.119
6	0.066557	0.040818	0.065716	0.065716	0.559*	0.559*	0.119	- 0.119